



Checklist for Identification of Risk Factors Associated with Hand-Arm And Back Injuries

Use the checklist to compare risk among jobs, or to evaluate changes made to a job in order to reduce material handling injuries. The more "yes" answers you have for a particular job, the higher the risk for material handling injuries.

1. JOB

Job Title: _

MAIN TASKS

2. TASK DESCRIPTION

AMOUNT OF TIME PERFORMING WORK

3. REPETITIVENESS	NO	YES
Are any tasks performed for more than 50 per cent of the work time?		
Do any single repetitive tasks last less than 30 seconds?		
Is it difficult to take frequent breaks from repetitive tasks?		
4. FORCEFULNESS	NO	YES
Are hands required to perform lifts, holds or assembly with loads heavier than 4.5 kg (10 lbs)?Is the use of a pinch grip required?		
Are there lifting tasks with weights heavier than 11 kg (24 lbs)?		
Does the work involve extreme flexion or extension of the wrist?		
Does the work involve side-to-side deviation of the wrist (ulnar and radial deviation)?		

continued





Checklist for Identification of Risk Factors Associated with Hand-Arm And Back Injuries

Does the work involve turning something over in the hands			
(elbow supination and pronation)?	5. POSTURE	NO	YES
Does the work involve reaching behind the line of the body? Does the work	• •		
involve frequent horizontal reaching beyond 50 cm?	Does the work involve frequent reaching below the knee- level?		
sit-stand?			
adaptability? Is important visual information located outside the range of viewing (122-178 cm, 43-70")? Are employees carrying books and shelving with one hand? Image: Comparison of the temployees carrying books and shelving with one hand? Are unsupported postures adopted for work performed over long periods of time? Image: Comparison of temployees carrying books and shelving with one hand? Are unsupported postures adopted for work performed over long periods of time? Image: Comparison of temployees carrying books and shelving with sharp edges? Boes the work cause contact of fingers, wrists or arms with sharp edges? Image: Comparison of temployees carrying due to sharp corners or rough surfaces? 7. WORK ORGANIZATION NO YES Is there a lack of alternative tasks and flexibility in the job? Image: Comparison of temployees carrying temployees			
(122-178 cm, 43-70")?			
Are unsupported postures adopted for work performed over long periods of time? Are unsupported postures adopted for work performed over long periods of time? MO YES 6. MECHANICAL STRESSES NO YES Does the work cause contact of fingers, wrists or arms with sharp edges? Is there a possibility of injury due to sharp corners or rough surfaces? Is there a lack of alternative tasks and flexibility in the job? Is there insufficient decision-making within the job? Is there insufficient decision-making within the job? 			
of time? NO YES 6. MECHANICAL STRESSES NO YES Does the work cause contact of fingers, wrists or arms with sharp edges?	Are employees carrying books and shelving with one hand?		
Does the work cause contact of fingers, wrists or arms with sharp edges?			
Is there a possibility of injury due to sharp corners or rough surfaces?	6. MECHANICAL STRESSES	NO	YES
Is there a possibility of injury due to sharp corners or rough surfaces?	Deep the work cause contact of fingers, writte or arms with sharp edges?		
7. WORK ORGANIZATION NO YES Is there a lack of alternative tasks and flexibility in the job? Is there insufficient decision-making within the job? Is there insufficient decision-making within the job? Image: Comparison of the provided HTML in the provi			
Is there a lack of alternative tasks and flexibility in the job?	is there a possibility of injury due to sharp corners or rough surfaces?		
Is there insufficient decision-making within the job?	7. WORK ORGANIZATION	NO	YES
Is there insufficient decision-making within the job?	Is there a lack of alternative tasks and flexibility in the job?		

Is it difficult for an injured worker to find alternative work?
Are there problems with the health and safety committee responding

to the needs of workers? Is new equipment ordered or are work methods altered without input

Is training insufficient for good performance?

continued



from employees?

Equipment Evaluation Form

1. Equipment be	ing evaluat	ted:							
2. Length of tim	e spent usi	ing equi	pment:						
3. Location of e	valuation tr	rial:							
4. Please rank ho (0 being "very						the scale	e from 1 to	o 10,	
0 🗌 1 🗌	2 3	3 🗌	4	5 🗌	6 🗌	7 🗌	8 🗌	9 🗌	10 🗌
	somewhat c								very easy
5. What made th	e equipme	ent easy	or diffic	ult to use	?				
6. How significar	ntly did the	e equipn	nent help	o reduce p	ohysical s	stress?			
	2 3						8 🗌	9 🗌	10 🗌
much more stress	somewhat m	nore stress	neither	r more nor le	ss stress	somewhat	less stress	much l	ess stress
7. What made th	ne equipme	ent more	e or less	physically	y stressfu	ıl?			
8. Please rank th	ne safety of	f the ne	w equipr	ment on a	a scale fro	om 1 to 10)		
0 1	-			5 🗌				9	10 🗌
very unsafe									very safe
9. What made t	ne equipme	ent safe	/unsafe	to use? _					
10. Please give a	n overall ra	anking o	f the nev	w equipm	ent on a	scale fro	m 1 to 10.		
	2 3					7 🗌		9 🗌	10 🗌
poor	fair		neither g	good nor poo	r	go	od		excellent
11. What did you	like about	the equ	ipment?						
12. What did you	dislike abo	out the	equipme	ent?					
13. Would you re	commend	that the	e library i	invest in t	his equip	oment?			

continued



Equipment Form For Changes To Job Methods

This form should be filled out at the end of each day of work, using the alternative job method, and at the end of an equal number of days, using the traditional job methods. Results will be compiled across several workers and compared. It is important to keep track of the measurable work flow, as well as subjective feelings about the work.

1. What job method alteration is being evaluated?
2. Period of evaluation:
3. Measurable work output (e.g., number of book trucks shelved and time per book truck):
4. How significant was the reduction in physical stress due to the alteration in methods? 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 much more stress somewhat more stress neither more nor less stress somewhat less stress much less stress
5. What made the alteration in work methods less or more stressful?
6. Please rank the pain, discomfort, or fatigue in the hands, wrists and arms felt at the end of the day.
severe intense moderate slight non-existent 7. What did you like about the change in work methods?
 Please rank how strongly you would recommend that the library adopt this altered method. (rank)
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 do not recommend indifferent

Public Services Health & Safety Association

4950 Yonge Street, Suite 902, Toronto ON M2N 6K1 tel: 416-250-2131 toll free: 1-877-250-7444 fax: 416-250-9190 www.pshsa.ca

© 2013 MRACHAEN0413

The information contained in document is correct at the time of publication. The Fast Facts series is copyrighted by the Public Services Health & Safety Association (PSHSA). Individual Fast Facts can be copied freely provided appropriate credit is given to PSHSA.